arXiv:1411.3050v1 [cond-mat.dis-nn] 12 Nov 2014

Representation for the Pyrochlore Lattice

André Luis Passos[a], Douglas F. de Albuquerque[b], João Batista Santos Filho[c]

[a] *DFI, CCET, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, São Cristóvão, SE, Brazil*
[b] *DMA, CCET, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, São Cristóvão, SE, Brazil*
[c] *Instituto Federal de Sergipe, São Cristóvão, SE, Brazil*

Abstract

This work aims to present a representation for the Kagomé and pyrochlore lattices for Monte Carlo simulation and some results of the critical properties. These geometric frustrated lattices are composed of corner sharing triangles and tetrahedrons respectively. The simulation was performed with the Cluster Wollf Algorithm for the spins updates using the standard ferromagnetic Ising Model. The determination of the critical temperature and exponents was done through the Histogram Technique and the Finite-Size Scalling Theory.

Keywords: Kagomé, pyrochlore, Ising Model, Monte Carlo

1. Introduction

For geometric frustrated magnetic materials it is impossible to choose one conguration with minimal energy by the individual minimization of the magnetic moments interactions. Consequently, their ground states are degenerated. The most studied cases are systems with crystalline structures composed by triangles and tetrahedrons with nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic interaction of their magnetic moments [1]. Recently frustration has been observed in jarosites and pyrochlore oxides as a result of ferromagnetic interaction [2]. In these structures the spins are arranged in Kagomé and pyrochlore lattices and forced to point in towards the center of the triangles and tetrahedrons respectively. For this reason, there is only the two possible states ,“in” or “out”, and therefore can be approximated through the classical

Email address: passosal@gmail.com (André Luis Passos)

Ising model. Since the angle between the spins the ferro-antiferromagnetic rule is changed so ferromagnetic systems can be mapped by the antiferromagnetic Ising model and vice-versa [3].

These systems are called spin ice because of the similarity between their ground state conguration and the Pauli model for the water ice. Due to the simplicity of the Ising model in comparison with Heisenberg they became a model for the study of geometric frustration. In some cases it is even possible an analytic treatment in order to compare to experimental and computational results [4].



Figure 1: Representation of the sites in the Kagomé lattice.

The recent artificial production of the first spin ices in the square and honeycomb lattices had attracted a lot of attention [5]. They are constructed with bi-dimensional sequences of nanoislands with ferromagnetic magnetic moments considered as effective Ising spins. Recently it was possible to produce artificial jarosite and volborthite crystals with practically no distortions or impurities, something not possible for natural materials found in nature [6, 7]. Another recent example of the Ising model is the study of magnetic materials in the triangular Kagomé lattice, artificially produced, as an alternative for adiabatic demagnetization [8]. They consist of a Kagomé lattice with an inserted site between two nearest-neighbors. Additionally it can be used in the study of the exchange coupling constants [9]. They are composed of sequences Kagomé lattices with weak interaction between the layers so they are considered bidimensional structures.

In this paper it is presented an alternative to the conventional representa-

tion of the Kagomé [10] and pyrochlore [11] lattices for Monte Carlo study of spins systems. Furthermore, the critical temperatures and exponents using the standard ferromagnetic Ising model is shown. As suggested, there are the studies of the XY and Heisenberg models for these lattices or the addition of the dipolar term in the Ising model [12]. There is also the possibility of the study of critical quantities and phase diagram for diluted cases.

2. Method

The Kagomé lattice is a two-dimensional arrangement of sites with four nearest-neighbors as shown in Figure 1. The unitary cell in gray has three sites with bonds forming equilateral triangles, each site in an indenpendent rhombic sublattices labeled A, B and C. For a site with label t and coordinates i and j its nearest-neighbors are defined using the two versors $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{j}}$ as shown in Table 1 ($t = 0$, 1 and 2 for A, B and C respectivelly). The sites are indexed by $i + (j-1)\,L + tL^2$, the space between the sites are set to 1 and $\{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^* | i, j < L\}$.

Table 1: Nearest-neighbors for the Kagomé lattice.

Sub-lattice A	Sub-lattice B	Sub-lattice C
(i, j) B	(i, j) C	(i, j) A
$(i, j-1)$ B	$(i-1, j+1)$ C	$(i+1, j)$ A
(i, j) C	(i, j) A	(i, j) B
$(i-1, j)$ C	$(i, j+1)$ A	$(i+1, j-1)$ B

On the other hand, the pyrochlore lattice is the three-dimensional analogue of the Kagomé with six nearest-neighbors, and it is constructed using the same idea. A forth site labeled D ($t = 3$) is added to the Kagomé unitary cell, immediately above it, in a way all the sites are equally spaced and their bonds form a tetrahedra. It is represented in Figure 2 with the upper and lower layers of the unitary cell. The four sites form independent ortorhombic lattices and its nearest-neighbors, taking into account the versor $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$, are listed in Table 2. The sites are indexed by $i + (j-1)\,L + (k-1)\,L^2 + tL^3$ with $\{i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}^* | i, j, k < L\}$.

To reduce the finite size effects, the periodic boundary condition is used. This implies in the substitution of the term $(i+1)$ by 1 for $i = L$ and $(i-1)$ by L for $i = 1$ in Tables 1 and 2 (same for j and k).



(a)

(b)

Figure 2: Nearest neighbors for the sites of the unitary cell for the pyrochlore lattice (a) in the same plane k and (b) previous and next planes $k-1$ and $k+1$ respectivelly. The z component of the vector $\mathbf{k}$ points out of the plane.

It was used the zero-field standard Ising model

$$\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sigma_i \sigma_j, \tag{1}$$

for the Monte Carlo Simulation with the Cluster Wolff Algorithm for the spin updates [13] where J is the exchange coupling constant, $\sigma = \pm 1$ is the spin state and $\langle i, j \rangle$ indicates that the sum is only over the nearest neighbors. It was discarded 20% of the MCS (Monte Carlo Steps) for the termalization so a pair of energy and magnetization for the rest of the MCS is collected. The number of MCS was chosen so that the mean values termodynamic quantities (mean energy and magnetization, specific heat, magnetic susceptibility and Binder Cumulant) do not change for larger number of steps. The values of these quantities in the vicinity of the temperature where the simulation was performed is than obtained by the Histogram Technique [13, 14].

According to the Finite-Size Scaling Theory [15] the critical temperature T_C can be obtained by the value for the temperature in which the Binder Cumulant ($U_4 = 1 - \frac{\langle m^4 \rangle}{3\langle m^2 \rangle}$) of different size systems intercept themselves. Due to the scalling laws its only necessary any pair of the critical exponents

Table 2: Nearest-neighbors for the pyrochlore lattice.

Sublattice A	Sublattice B	Sublattice C	Sublattice D
(i,j,k) B	(i,j,k) C	(i,j,k) D	(i,j,k) A
$(i,j-1,k)$ B	$(i-1,j+1,k)$ C	$(i+1,j,k-1)$ D	$(i,j,k+1)$ A
(i,j,k) C	(i,j,k) D	(i,j,k) A	(i,j,k) B
$(i-1,j,k)$ C	$(i,j+1,k-1)$ D	$(i+1,j,k)$ A	$(i,j-1,k+1)$ B
(i,j,k) D	(i,j,k) A	(i,j,k) B	(i,j,k) C
$(i,j,k-1)$ D	$(i,j+1,k)$ A	$(i+1,j-1,k)$ B	$(i-1,j,k+1)$ C

to obtain the values for the remaining [15].

The magnetization per site m and the derivative of the Binder Cumulant with respect to the temperature $\partial U_4/\partial T$ it is given by

$$m \propto L^{-\beta/\nu}, \tag{2a}$$

$$\partial U_4/\partial T \propto L^{1/\nu}. \tag{2b}$$



Figure 3: Graphs of the critical temperature distribution $P(T_C)$ for (a) Kagomé and (b) pyrochlore lattices.

3. Results and Remarks

In the presente work, the simulation on the Kagomé lattice consisted of 8 bins with 3×10^6 MCS each for all the L = 90, 100, 116, 140, 166 and



Figure 4: Graphs of the critical exponents β and ν for: (a) and (b) Kagomé, (c) and (d) pyrochlore.

200 system sizes. The behaviour of thermodynamic quantities around the performed temperature is evaluated through the Histogram Technique. The distribution of the $15 \times 8^2 = 960$ Binder Cummulant intersection points of different system sizes shown in Figure 3 (a) is used to evaluate the critical temperature T_C. On the other hand the critical exponents β and ν are calculated by the size dependence of the magnetization per site m and the derivative of the Binder Cumulant with respect to the temperature $\partial U_4/\partial T$ given by Equation 2 and it is shown in Figure 4 (a) and (b). The results for the pirochlore lattice are obtained in the same way but with 8 bins of size 5×10^6 with system sizes $L = 26$, 32, 40, 50 and 64. The distribution of

the $10 \times 8^2 = 640$ values of T_C is in Figure 3 (a) while the critical exponents determination is in Figure 4 (c) and (d). In all graphs the error bars are smaller than the dots.

The critical temperature and exponents of the Kagomé lattice are shown in Table 3 besides the exact value of T_C [16] and the critical exponents of the square lattice [17] which must be the same for Kagomé [4]. The results are very accurate taken into account the error bars. For the pyrochlore lattice the critical temperature and exponents are shown in Table 4 next to the reference value of T_C obtained by Effective Field Theory [4] and the critical exponent for the cubic lattice [18]. The critical temperature agrees with expectations taking into account the Kagomé lattice results and the distinct values compared to Effective Field Theory are expected as the result of their own approaches. The critical exponents are in concordance with reference values. Given the simplicity of this method compared to the common representation it can be used as an alternative to simulations on the Kagomé and pyrochlore lattices. Although spins in these materials found in nature cannot be treated collinearly, this method can be used as a prototype for more complex cases.

Table 3: Critical temperature and exponents for the Kagomé lattice.

	Kagomé	
	Exact Value	This Work
T_C	2.14331944	2.143313(59)
β	0.1250	0.1251(11)
ν	1	0.9989(71)

Table 4: Critical temperature and exponents for the pyrochlore lattice.

	Pyrochlore	
	Reference Value	This Work
T_C	4.347826	4.213893(31)
β	0.3258(14)	0.3225(27)
ν	0.6304(13)	0.6341(27)

Acknowledgments

A. L. Passos is grateful for the partial support provided by FAPITEC/SE.

References

[1] R. G. Melko, M. J. P. Gingras, J. Phys. C 16 (2004) R1277-R1319.

[2] C. Castelnovo, R. Moessner, S. L. Sondhi, arXiv:1112.3793 (2011) 01-15.

[3] S. T. Bramwell, M. J. Harris, J. Phys. C 10 (1998) L215L220.

[4] A. J. Garcia-Adeva, D. L . Huber, Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 014418.

[5] Y. Li, T. X. Wang, G. D. Liu, Phys. Lett. A 377 (2013) 1655-1660.

[6] D. Grohol, K. Matan, J. H. Cho, S. H. Lee, J. W. Lynn, D. G. Nocera, Y. S. Lee, Nat. Mater. 4 (2005) 323-328.

[7] K. Matan, B. M. Bartlett, J. S. Helton, V. Sikolenko, S. Mat'aš, K. Prokeš, Y. Chen, J. W. Lynn, D. Grohol, T. J. Sato, M. Tokunaga, D. G. Nocera, Y. S. Lee, Phys. Rev. B 83 (2011) 214406.

[8] V. K. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner Jr, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 200 (1999) 44-56.

[9] Y. L. Loh, D. X. Yao, E. W. Carlson, Phys. Rev. B 78 (2008) 224410.

[10] M. Ghaemi, S. Ahmadi, Phys. A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 391 (2012) 2007-2013.

[11] C. J, Lin, C. N. Liao, C. H. Chern, arXiv:1111.2086 (2011) 01-06.

[12] G. W. Chern, P. Mellado, O. Tchernyshyov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 207202.

[13] D. P. Landau, K. Binder, A Guide to Monte Carlo Simulations in Statistical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2009.

[14] K. Binder, D. W. Heermann, Monte Carlo Simulation in Statistical Physics: an Introduction, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg 2010.

[15] J. G. Brankov, Introduction To Finite-Size Scaling, Leuven University Press, Leuven 1996.

[16] I. Syôzi, Progr. Theoret. Phys. 6 (1951) 306-308.

[17] H. E. Stanley, Introduction to Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, Oxford University Press, Oxford 1971.

[18] R. Folk, Y. Holovatch, T. Yavorskii, Phys. Usp. 46 (2003) 169-191.